



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,347	01/29/2001	Jennifer Pearson	2043.038US1	2384

21186 7590 11/22/2005

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH  
1600 TCF TOWER  
121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

HOFFMAN, BRANDON S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/772,347	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PEARSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brandon S. Hoffman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2136	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25 are pending in this office action, claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 12-14, 16, and 20-25 have been amended.

### *Rejections*

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nazem et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,983,227) in view of Godin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,890,138).

Regarding claims 1, 20, 22, and 24, Nazem et al. teaches a method/system/computer readable medium for maintaining login preference information of users of a network-based transaction facility, the method comprising:

- Communicating user interface information to a client via a communications network, the user interface information including information concerning a plurality of features within the network-based transaction facility and specifying a login interface facilitating user input of login preference information pertaining to each of the plurality of features (col. 5, lines 43-49);

- Receiving the login preference information from the client via the communications network (col. 3, line 59 through col. 4, line 2); and
- Utilizing the login preference information to **selectively activate** any of the plurality of features, **which are identified in the login preference information by a user**, within the network-based transaction facility via the communications network (fig. 2, ref. num 218);
- **After the user provides login information, which is separate from the login preference information, in order to initiate the subsequent login sessions** (the cookie in col. 3, lines 15-21 is the login information, which is stored separately from the login preference information shown in fig. 1, ref. num 116).

Nazem et al. does not teach wherein **activation** is enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information.

Godin et al. teaches wherein **activation** is enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information (fig. 11, ref. num 160 and col. 6, lines 60-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine wherein control is enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information, as taught by Godin et al., with the method/system/computer readable medium of Nazem et al. It

Art Unit: 2136

would have been obvious for such modifications because logging into the network-based transaction facility obtains the already pre-registered information of the bidder to save time on filling out a form consisting of all the personal information required to provide billing and shipping information (see col. 6, lines 60-67 of Godin et al.).

Regarding claim 3, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches comprising storing the login preference information in a database (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 4, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches further comprising:

- Initiating a user session upon receiving **the** user login information (see fig. 11, ref. num 160 of Godin et al.);
- Retrieving the login preference information from the database using the user login information (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 of Nazem et al.);
- Storing the login preference information in a session cookie during the user session (see col. 3, lines 15-21 of Nazem et al.);
- Receiving a user request to access a selected feature of the plurality of features within the network-based transaction facility (see col. 3, line 59 through col. 4, line 2 of Nazem et al.); and

Art Unit: 2136

- Utilizing the login preference information in the session cookie when determining whether to require the user to enter user access information before providing user access to the selected feature (see fig. 2, ref. num 218 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 6, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches further comprising:

- Receiving a user request not to use a cookie during user online activity within the network-based transaction facility (this feature can be set in the browser settings as is well known); and
- Retrieving the login preference information from the database when determining whether to require the user to enter **the** user login information before providing user access to a selected feature of the plurality of features within the network-based transaction facility (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 and col. 3, lines 15-21 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 7, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches further comprising:

- Presenting a user interface allowing the user to change the login preference information (see fig. 5A, ref. num 508 of Nazem et al.); and
- Updating the login preference information in a database (see col. 3, lines 22-35 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 8, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches further comprising:

- Receiving **the** user login information (see fig. 11, ref. num 160 of Godin et al.);
- Determining that the user has previously provided the login preference information (see col. 6, lines 60-67 of Godin et al.); and
- Presenting a welcome user interface including user interface information indicating that the user has previously provided the login preference information (see fig. 3 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 11, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the network-based transaction facility is a network-based auction facility (see abstract of Godin et al.).

Regarding claims 12, 21, 23, and 25, Nazem et al. teaches a method/system/computer readable medium to control access to a network-based transaction facility, the method including:

- Identifying a user associated with a network-based transaction facility **by using user login information, which is separate from user access preferences** (the cookie in col. 3, lines 15-21 is the user login information, which is stored separately from the user access preferences shown in fig. 1, ref. num 116);

Art Unit: 2136

- Identifying **the** access preferences associated with the user, the access preferences indicating access requirements to each of a plurality of features provided by the network-based transaction facility (fig. 1, ref. num 116); and
- Controlling access to each of the plurality of features in accordance with the stored access preferences (col. 5, lines 50-64).

Nazem et al. does not teach the user logs into the network-based transaction facility.

Godin et al. teaches the user logs into the network-based transaction facility (fig. 11, ref. num 160).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the user logs into the network-based transaction facility, as taught by Godin et al., with the method/system/computer readable medium of Nazem et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because logging into the network-based transaction facility obtains the already pre-registered information of the bidder to save time on filling out a form consisting of all the personal information required to provide billing and shipping information (see col. 6, lines 60-67 of Godin et al.).



Regarding claim 13, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the controlling comprises implementing different access restrictions to respective features of the plurality of features according to the access preferences (see col. 5, lines 50-64 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 14, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the controlling requires selectively requiring user identity verification information **as a portion of the user login information** to access a first feature of the plurality of features in accordance with the access preferences (see col. 11, top section of code of Nazem et al. requires a login, from thereon, a cookie is used to store the login information).

Regarding claim 15, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the user identity verification information comprises a password (it is inherent that a password is used along with the login name.).

Regarding claim 16, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches including communicating a request to the user to determine a set of access preferences pertaining to the plurality of features, and storing a response to the request as the access preferences (see col. 5, line 66 through col. 6, line 12 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 17, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the request comprises a user interface via which the user composes the response (see fig. 5A, ref. num 508 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 18, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the user interface comprises a markup language document (see col. 2, lines 44-48 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding claim 19, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches wherein the plurality of features includes any one of a group comprising sell, bid, chat, feedback, account information, personalization, tracking and financial features (see fig. 5A and 5B of Nazem et al., stock quotes, personalized weather, etc.).

Claims 2, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nazem et al. (USPN '227) in view of Godin et al. (USPN '138), and further in view of My Yahoo (Wayback Machine 1998-12-12).

Regarding claim 2, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 1, above. However, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. does not teach wherein the login preference information indicates whether a user password should be remembered for each of the plurality of features.

My Yahoo teaches wherein the login preference information indicates whether a user password should be remembered for each of the plurality of features (page 1, left side, checkbox for 'Remember my ID & Password').

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine an option for remembering the login preferences for each of the plurality of feature, as taught by My Yahoo, with the method of Nazem et al./ Godin et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because this saves the user time from logging in each time they access the page, especially when the user has a home machine that no one else uses.

Regarding claim 5, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 3, and 4, above. However, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. does not teach wherein the login preference information is stored in the session cookie in a secured manner.

My Yahoo teaches wherein the login preference information is stored in the session cookie in a secured manner (page 3, 'Secure').

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the login preference information is stored in a secured manner, as taught by My Yahoo, with the method of Nazem et al./ Godin et al. It would

Art Unit: 2136

have been obvious for such modifications because the preference information contains sensitive data, which would be desirable to be kept secret and secure.

Regarding claim 9, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 1, above. However, Nazem et al. as modified by Godin et al. does not teach including always requiring a user password for any feature involving display of user personal information.

My Yahoo teaches including always requiring a user password for any feature involving display of user personal information (page 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine always requiring a password for displaying personal information, as taught by My Yahoo, with the method of Nazem et al./ Godin et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications in the case that remember my password was previously checked, and a usurper were to gain access to the computer, the usurper would be able to gain access to the personal information. By making a password required, this prevents the problem.

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Nazem et al./ Godin et al. in view of My Yahoo teaches wherein the user personal information includes any one of a group

Art Unit: 2136

comprising credit card information and registration information (see col. 6, lines 23-26 of Nazem et al.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Brandon S. Hoffman*

BH

*Ayaz R. Sheikh*  
AYAZ SHEIKH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100